

Integration of cocycles and Lefschetz number formulae for differential operators.

Ajay C. Ramadoss

July 27, 2009

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold X such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$. Given any continuous, basic Hochschild $2n$ -cocycle ψ_{2n} of the algebra Diff_n of formal holomorphic differential operators, one obtains a $2n$ -form $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\mathcal{D})$ from any holomorphic differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ (see [9]). We apply our earlier results from [17] and [18] to show that $\int_X f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\mathcal{D})$ gives the Lefschetz number of $\mathcal{D}$ upto a constant independent of X and $\mathcal{E}$. In addition, we obtain a "local" result generalizing the above statement. When ψ_{2n} is the cocycle from [10], we obtain a new proof as well as a generalization of the Lefschetz number theorem of Engeli-Felder (see [9]).

We also obtain an analogous "local" result pertaining to B. Shoikhet's construction of the holomorphic noncommutative residue of a differential operator for trivial vector bundles on complex parallelizable manifolds. This enables us to give a rigorous construction of the holomorphic noncommutative residue of $\mathcal{D}$ defined by Shoikhet [19] when $\mathcal{E}$ is an arbitrary vector bundle on an arbitrary compact complex manifold X . Our local result immediately yields a proof of a generalization of Conjecture 3.3 of [19].

1 Introduction.

1.1 Let X be a connected compact complex manifold such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on X . In this paper, the term "vector bundle" shall hence forth mean "holomorphic vector bundle". Let $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}$ denote the Dolbeault resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ of holomorphic functions on X . Let $\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) = \Omega_X^{0,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Diff}(\mathcal{E})$ and let $\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) = \Gamma(X, \text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$. There is a suitable topology on $\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ which we describe in Section 2. Recall that any global holomorphic differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ induces an endomorphism of the Dolbeault complex $K^\bullet_{\mathcal{E}} := \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E})$ that commutes with the $\bar{\partial}$ differential. The *Lefschetz number* of $\mathcal{D}$, also known as the supertrace of $\mathcal{D}$,

$$\text{str}(\mathcal{D}) := \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})|_{H^i(X, \mathcal{E})}$$

therefore makes sense. More generally, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle with bounded geometry on an arbitrary complex manifold X of complex dimension n . The reader may refer to Section 2.2 for the definition of “bounded geometry”. There is a complex $\text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ of “completed” Hochschild chains that one can associate with $\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$. This is a complex of (c-soft) sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on X . Let Γ_c denote “sections with compact support”. Suppose that $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ (see Section 2 for precise definitions). Let α_0 denote the component of α with antiholomorphic degree 0. For any $t > 0$, $\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is a trace class operator on the (graded) Hilbert space $K^\bullet_{\mathcal{E}, L^2}$ of square integrable sections of $K^\bullet_{\mathcal{E}}$ (Theorem 4, part 1). The *supertrace* $\text{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}})$ of $\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is given by the formula

$$\text{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}})|_{K^i_{\mathcal{E}, L^2}}.$$

We may define the Lefschetz number of α to be

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}).$$

When X is compact and $\alpha = \mathcal{D}$ is a global holomorphic differential operator on $\mathcal{E}$, this coincides with the Lefschetz number of $\mathcal{D}$ defined earlier (any vector bundle on a compact manifold is of bounded geometry).

1.2 Let Diff_n denote the algebra $\mathbb{C}[[y_1, \dots, y_n]][\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$ ($\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$) of formal holomorphic differential operators. Denote the complexes of continuous normalized Hochschild cochains of Diff_n with coefficients in Diff_n^* by $C^\bullet(\text{Diff}_n)$. Let ψ_{2n} be a cocycle in $C^{2n}(\text{Diff}_n)$. If $M_r(A)$ denotes the algebra of $r \times r$ matrices with entries in A , ψ_{2n} extends to a cocycle ψ_{2n}^r in $C^{2n}(\text{Diff}_n)$ by the formula

$$\psi_{2n}^r(A_0 \otimes D_0, \dots, A_{2n+1} \otimes D_{2n+1}) = \text{tr}(A_0 \dots A_{2n+1}) \psi_{2n}(D_0, \dots, D_{2n+1}). \quad (1)$$

Note that the group $G := GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts on the algebra $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ with $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acting by conjugation and $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting by linear coordinate changes. It can be checked without difficulty from (1) that if ψ_{2n} is $GL(n)$ -basic, then ψ_{2n}^r is G -basic. We will define the term basic carefully in Section 3.2.2.

Given a basic Hochschild $2n$ -cocycle ψ_{2n} , a Gelfand-Fuks type procedure (which extends a construction from [9] and [16]) enables one to construct a map of complexes of sheaves

$$f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}} : \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2n-\bullet}$$

where the target has the usual de-Rham differential (see Section 3.2 for details). Our extension of the construction from [9], [16] is made possible by a result from [6] (Theorem 5) showing the existence of a “good” choice of Fedosov connection on the bundle $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of fibrewise formal differential operators on $\mathcal{E}$. $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ induces a map of complexes

$$f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}} : \Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{2n-\bullet}(X).$$

The subscript 'c' above indicates "compact supports". As a map of complexes of sheaves, $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ depends upon the choice of a Fedosov connection on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Nevertheless, as a map in the bounded derived category $D^b(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$ of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on X , $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ is independent of the choice of Fedosov connection on the bundle of fibrewise formal differential operators on $\mathcal{E}$ (see Proposition 15). Let τ_{2n} denote the normalized Hochschild cocycle from [10]. Let $[.]$ denote "class in cohomology". Since $\mathrm{HH}^{2n}(\mathrm{Diff}_n)$ is 1-dimensional, the ratio $\frac{[\psi_{2n}]}{[\tau_{2n}]}$ makes sense.

1.3 One of the main results in this paper (proven in Section 3) is the following.

Theorem 1. *If $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(X, \mathrm{hoch}(\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ is a 0-cycle,*

$$\int_X f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\alpha) = (2\pi i)^n \frac{[\psi_{2n}]}{[\tau_{2n}]} \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}).$$

A special case of the above theorem, when $\alpha = \mathcal{D}$ is a global holomorphic differential operator on X and when X is compact is as follows.

Theorem 2.

$$\int_X f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\mathcal{D}) = (2\pi i)^n \frac{[\psi_{2n}]}{[\tau_{2n}]} \cdot \mathrm{str}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Theorem 1 is proven using results from [17] and [18]. If ψ_{2n} is known explicitly, $f_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)$ can in principle be locally calculated explicitly for $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(X, \mathrm{hoch}(\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathcal{E})))$.

1.4 In particular, if we put ψ_{2n} to be the normalized Hochschild cocycle τ_{2n} of [10], the $2n$ -form $f_{\mathcal{E}, \tau_{2n}}(\mathcal{D})$ was denoted in [9] by $\chi_0(\mathcal{D})$. We therefore obtain a different proof of the following Lefschetz number theorem of [9] as well as a generalization of this result.

Engeli-Felder theorem [9]. If X is compact and $\mathcal{D} \in \Gamma(X, \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))$, $\mathrm{str}(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_X \chi_0(\mathcal{D})$.

We sketch in a remark Section 3.5 why the map $\alpha \mapsto \int_X f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\alpha)$ may be viewed as an "integral of ψ_{2n}^r over X " in some sense. Upto this point, this paper completes our work in [17] and [18]. We feel that our proof of the Engeli-Felder formula (in [17], [18] and this paper) is "computationally lazy": our method indeed uses simpler analysis and less involved computations to prove this result. Moreover, our approach yields a new, more general result (Theorem 1) for free.

1.5 We proceed to prove Conjecture 3.3 from [19] in greater generality. In [19], B. Shoikhet starts with a particular $2n+1$ cocycle $\Psi_{2n+1} \in C_{\mathrm{Lie}}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathrm{Diff}_n), \mathbb{C})$ called a lifting formula. He then attempts to construct a map of complexes

$$\Theta : C_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{E})), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n+1-\bullet}(X).$$

For any global holomorphic differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{E}$, he refers to the number $\int_X \Theta(E_{11}(\mathcal{D}))$ as its *holomorphic noncommutative residue* (whenever X is compact). Since Ψ_{2n+1} is not $GL(n)$ -basic, this cannot be done as directly as in [19] unless X is complex parallelizable and $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial

(as per our understanding).

One can construct a complex of "completed" Lie chains $\widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ (see Section 2.4). This complex is analogous to $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$. For a trivial vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ (with bounded geometry) on a parallelizable (not necessarily compact) complex manifold X , we construct a map of complexes of sheaves

$$\lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r) : \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1] \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2n-\bullet}$$

using B. Shoikhet's lifting formulas. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ be a nontrivial 0-cycle. The component α_0 of α of antiholomorphic degree 0 is a finite matrix with entries in $\text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{E})$.

Theorem 3.

$$\int_X \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1})(\alpha) = C \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(Tr(\alpha_0)e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}})$$

where C is a constant independent of X and $\mathcal{E}$.

We prove Theorem 3 in Section 4.2. The methods used for this are similar to those used in [17] and [18]. In Section 4.2.5 and 4.2.6, we provide a rigorous (though not as explicit as we had originally hoped for) definition of B. Shoikhet's holomorphic noncommutative residue of a holomorphic differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ for the case when $\mathcal{E}$ is an arbitrary vector bundle over an arbitrary compact complex manifold X . Theorem 3 easily implies that this number is C times the Lefschetz number of $\mathcal{E}$ (C being the constant from Theorem 3). This settles conjecture 3.3 of [19] in greater generality.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Giovanni Felder and Thomas Willwacher for some very useful discussions. This work would not have reached its current form without their pointing out important shortcomings in earlier versions. I am also grateful to Boris Shoikhet for useful discussions. This was done partly at Cornell University and partly at IHES. I am grateful to both these institutions for providing me with a congenial work atmosphere.

Convention 0. Throughout this paper, the term *double complex* will mean "complex of complexes". If $\{C_{p,q}\}$ is a double complex with horizontal and vertical differentials d_h and d_v respectively, the differential we use on the shifted total complex $\text{Tot}(C)[k]$ will satisfy $d(x) = d_h(x) + (-1)^{|x|}d_v(x)$ for any homogenous element x of $\text{Tot}(C)[k]$.

2 The Hochschild chain complex $\Gamma(X, \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))$ and other preliminaries.

2.1 Let $\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})(U)$ denote $\Gamma(U, \Omega_X^{0,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$. Let $A^{\bullet}$ be any DG-algebra. Let $C_{\bullet}(A^{\bullet})$ denote the complex of Hochschild chains of $A^{\bullet}$. Whenever necessary, $C_{\bullet}(A^{\bullet})$ will be converted to a cochain complex by inverting degrees. Note that the differential on $C_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})(U))$ extends

to a differential on the graded vector space $\oplus_k \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k})(U^k)[k-1]$. We denote the resulting complex by $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$.

Let $\text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ denote the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$$

of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Note that $\text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ is a complex whose terms are modules over the sheaf of smooth functions on X . It follows that

$$H_\bullet(\Gamma(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq \mathbb{H}^\bullet(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))). \quad (2)$$

Here, one must convert the chain complex $\text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ into a cochain complex by inverting degrees before taking hypercohomology.

Proposition 1.

$$H_i(\Gamma(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq H^{2n-i}(X, \mathbb{C}) \text{ whenever } 0 \leq i \leq 2n.$$

Proof. Note that the differential on the Hochschild chain complex $C_\bullet(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))$ extends to a differential on the graded vector space $\oplus_k \Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))[k-1]$. Call the resulting complex $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))$. One can then consider the sheaf $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \widehat{C}_\bullet(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))$$

of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Note that the natural map of complexes from $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ to $\text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ is a quasiisomorphism. This is because on any open subset U of X on which $\mathcal{E}$ and $T_X^{1,0}$ are trivial, $\Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))$ is quasiisomorphic to $\Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))$. The desired proposition then follows from (2) and the fact that $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ is quasiisomorphic to the shifted constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n]$. This fact (see [17], Lemma 3) is also implicit in many earlier papers, for instance, [5]. $\square$

Convention 1. Whenever we identify $H_i(\Gamma(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))))$ with $H^{2n-i}(X, \mathbb{C})$, we use the identification coming from a specific quasiisomorphism between $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n]$. This quasiisomorphism is constructed as follows. “Morita invariance” yields a quasiisomorphism between $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(X))$ (see the proof of Lemma 3 of [17]). By a result of [5], $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(X))$ is quasiisomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n]$. The quasiisomorphism we shall use throughout this paper is the one taking the class of the normalized Hochschild $2n$ -cycle

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (1, z_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, z_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n})$$

to $1[2n]$ on any open subset U of X with local holomorphic coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_n$. We remark that in the above formula, any permutation σ in S_{2n} permutes the last $2n$ factors leaving the

first one fixed. We denote this identification by $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}$ as we did in [17].

2.1.1 As in [17], we define a topology on $\text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})$ as follows. Let $\text{Diff}^{\leq k, \bullet}(\mathcal{E})$ denote $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{0, \bullet} \otimes \text{Diff}^{\leq k}(\mathcal{E}))$ where $\text{Diff}^{\leq k}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on $\mathcal{E}$ of order $\leq k$. Equip $\mathcal{E}$ and $\Omega_X^{0, \bullet}$ with Hermitian metrics. Equip $\text{Diff}^{\leq k, \bullet}(\mathcal{E})$ with the topology generated by the family of seminorms $\{||\cdot||_{\phi, K, s} \mid K \subset X \text{ compact}, s \in \Gamma(K, \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}), \phi \text{ a } C^{\infty} \text{ differential operator on } \mathcal{E}\}$ given by

$$||D||_{\phi, K, s} = \text{Sup}\{||\phi D(s)(x)|| \mid x \in K\}.$$

The topology on $\text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})$ is the direct limit of the topologies on the $\text{Diff}^{\leq k, \bullet}(\mathcal{E})$. More generally, for any open subset U of X , one can define a topology on $\Gamma(U, \text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))$ in the same way.

2.2 Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on an *arbitrary* connected complex manifold X . Let $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the Laplacian of $\mathcal{E}$ (for the operator $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$). This depends on the choice of Hermitian metric for $\mathcal{E}$ as well as on a choice of a Hermitian metric for X . Recall that $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} = \Delta^{\mathcal{E}} + F$ where $\Delta^{\mathcal{E}}$ is the Laplacian of a connection on $\mathcal{E}$ (see Definition 2.4 of [2]) and $F \in \Gamma(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$.

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ has *bounded geometry* if for some choice of Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} = \Delta^{\mathcal{E}} + F$, where $\Delta^{\mathcal{E}}$ is the Laplacian of $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $F \in \Gamma(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$, and all covariant derivatives of the curvature of $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}}$ as well as those of F are bounded on X . In particular, any holomorphic vector bundle on a compact complex manifold has bounded geometry.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle with bounded geometry on X . Let $K^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{E} L^2}$ denote the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of $K^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then, $e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}$ makes sense as an integral operator on $K^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{E} L^2}$ for any $t > 0$ (see [8]). Let Γ_c denote the functor “sections with compact support”. Suppose that $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ is a 0-chain. Let α_0 denote the component of α with antiholomorphic degree 0. We recall the main results of [17] and [18] in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. (1) For any 0-chain α , $\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is a trace class operator on $K^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{E} L^2}$ for any $t > 0$.
(2) Further, if α is a 0-cycle,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_X [\alpha]$$

where $[\alpha]$ denotes the class of α in $H_c^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 1. Let us recall some aspects of [17] and [18]. When X is compact, the linear functional

$$\alpha \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}) \quad (3)$$

on $\Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ is really an extension of the Feigin-Losev-Shoikhet Hochschild 0-cocycle of $\text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})$. We therefore, denote the linear functional given by (3) by $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{hoch}}$. To show that the

Feigin-Losev-Shoikhet cocycle indeed gives a linear functional on $H_0(\Gamma(X, \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))$ takes the sole computational effort in this whole program. The crux of this is a certain "estimate" of the Feigin-Losev-Shoikhet cocycle (Proposition 3 of [17]). This estimate is proven in "greater than usual" detail in [17]. It is done using nothing more than the simplest heat kernel estimates (Proposition 2.37 in [2]).

The second part of Theorem 4 can be exploited to extend the Feigin-Losev-Shoikhet cocycle to a linear functional on certain homology theories that are closely related to $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$.

2.3 Cyclic homology... One obtains a "completed" version of the Bar complex of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$ by replacing $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))^{\otimes k}$ by $\Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))$. Sheafification then gives us a complex $\widetilde{\text{bar}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ of sheaves on X having the same underlying graded vector space as $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$. One can then construct Tsygan's double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \dots & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \dots & \xleftarrow{N} & \dots & \xleftarrow{1-t} \dots \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_2 & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \widetilde{\text{bar}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_2 & \xleftarrow{N} & \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_2 & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \dots \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_1 & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \widetilde{\text{bar}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_1 & \xleftarrow{N} & \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_1 & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \dots \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_0 & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \widetilde{\text{bar}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_0 & \xleftarrow{N} & \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_0 & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \dots \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & \dots & \xleftarrow{1-t} & \dots & \xleftarrow{N} & \dots & \xleftarrow{1-t} \dots
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

The total complex of this double complex is denoted by $\widetilde{\text{Cycl}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$. Here, the operator t acts on $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))^{\otimes k}$ by

$$D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_k \mapsto (-1)^k (-1)^{d_k(d_1 + \dots + d_{k-1})} D_k \otimes D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_{k-1}$$

where the D_i are homogenous elements of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$ of degree d_i . t then extends to $\Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))$ by continuity. It further extends to a map from $\widetilde{\text{bar}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ to $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ by sheafification. Similarly, N is the extension of the endomorphism $1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{k-1}$ of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))^{\otimes k}$ to a endomorphism of the graded vector space $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$. One can also consider the Connes complex $\widetilde{\text{Co}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})) := \widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})) / (1 - t)$. There is a natural surjection $\Pi : \widetilde{\text{Cycl}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Co}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ given by the quotient map on the first column of the double complex (4) and 0 on other columns.

Proposition 2. Π is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary integer n . Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{C}^k$ associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k})).$$

Let $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_n^k$ denote the sheaf of sections of the $\mathcal{C}^k$ of degree n . As a complex, the n -th row in the double complex (4) is a direct sum of complexes $C_\bullet^n$ where every term of the complex C_k^n is the sheaf $\widetilde{\text{hoch}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))_n^k$. The differentials alternate between $1-t$ and N . The proof of Theorem 2.1.5 in [14] shows that $C_\bullet^n$ is acyclic in degrees except 0. It follows that the n -th row of the double complex (4) is acyclic in all degrees except 0, where its homology is $\text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))_n$. This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

Applying the linear functional $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{hoch}}$ on the first column of the double complex of compactly supported global sections of the double complex (4), one obtains a linear functional $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Cycl}}$ on $\Gamma_c(X, \text{Cycl}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$. Moreover, the endomorphism $1-t$ of the vector space $\Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))_0)$ kills the direct summand $\text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{E})$. By definition, $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{hoch}}(\alpha)$ depends only on the component of α in $\text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{E})$. It follows that $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{hoch}}$ vanishes on the image of $1-t$. It therefore, induces a linear functional on $\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ which we will denote by $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Co}}$. More explicitly, if α is a 0-chain in $\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$, $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Co}}(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\alpha_0 e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}})$ where α_0 is the component of α in $\text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{E})$. The following proposition is a consequence of part 2 of Theorem 4.

Proposition 3. $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Cycl}}$ and $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Co}}$ descend to linear functionals on $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \text{Cycl}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))))$ and $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))))$ respectively.

Proof. We have already observed that $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{hoch}}$ vanishes on the image of $1-t$ in $\Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E}))_0)$. That it also vanishes on the image of the Hochschild boundary b of $\Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ is implied by part 2 of Theorem 4. The desired proposition then follows from the construction of $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Cycl}}$ and $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Co}}$. $\square$

We recall from [18] that

$$H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \text{Cycl}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

It follows from Proposition 2 that

$$H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C})$$

as well. Denote the natural projection from $\Gamma_c(X, \text{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ to $\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}\text{iff}}(\mathcal{E})))$ by p . The following proposition is immediate from the definition of $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Co}}$.

Proposition 4. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{hoch}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))}) & \xrightarrow{H_0(p)} & H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Co}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))}) \\
\downarrow I_{FLS}^{\text{hoch}} & & \downarrow I_{FLS}^{\text{Co}} \\
\mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathbb{C}
\end{array}$$

Note that if β is a nontrivial 0-cycle of $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{hoch}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))}$, the above proposition and Theorem 4 together imply that $I_{FLS}^{\text{Co}}(p(\beta)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_X [\beta] \neq 0$. Therefore, I_{FLS}^{Co} is a nontrivial linear functional on $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Co}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))})$.

2.4 Lie algebra homology... We begin this subsection by reminding the reader of a convention that we will follow.

Convention 2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any DG Lie algebra with differential of degree 1. By the term "complex of Lie chains of $\mathfrak{g}$ ", we shall refer to the total complex of the double complex $\{C_{p,q} := (\wedge^p \mathfrak{g})_{-q} | p \geq 1\}$. The horizontal differential is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and the vertical differential is that induced by the differential on $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that our coefficients are in the trivial $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, and that *we are brutally truncating the column of the usual double complex that lies in homological degree 0*. In particular, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is concentrated in degree 0, we do not consider Lie 0-chains of $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.4.1 Recall that $\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ is a sheaf of topological algebras on X . Over each open subset U of X , the topology on $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$ is given as in Section 2.1.1. It follows that $\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$ is a sheaf of topological Lie algebras on X with the topology on $\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})))$ induced by the topology on $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$ in the obvious way. Treating $\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})))$ as the direct limit of the topological vector spaces $\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})))$ makes $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$ a sheaf of topological Lie algebras on X . In this case, the complex of "completed" Lie chains $\widehat{C}_\bullet^{\text{lie}}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))))$ is obtained by replacing $\wedge^k \Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})))$ by the image of the idempotent $\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma$ acting on $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes k} \otimes \Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))$. Here, σ acts on the factors $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes k}$ and $\Gamma(U^k, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes k}))$ simultaneously. In an analogous fashion, one can construct the complex $\widehat{C}_\bullet^{\text{lie}}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))$. Note that $\widehat{C}_\bullet^{\text{lie}}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\widehat{C}_\bullet^{\text{lie}}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))))$ since the columns of the corresponding double complexes are quasi-isomorphic.

Definition. We define $\widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ to be the complex of sheaves associated to the complex of presheaves

$$U \mapsto \widehat{C}_\bullet^{\text{lie}}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))))$$

of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on X .

In the proposition below, the subscript 'c' indicates "compact supports".

Proposition 5.

$$H_i(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{Lie}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq \mathbb{H}_c^{-i}(Sym^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{C}[2n+1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2n+3] \oplus \dots)).$$

Proof. Recall from [11] that for any algebra A , we have an isomorphism

$$H_{\geq 1}^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(A)) \simeq \text{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\text{HC}_{\bullet}(A)[1]).$$

An analog of this result holds for the topological algebra $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E}))$ (see Section 2.3.3 of [4] for the corresponding assertion in the C^{∞} case). Recall from [22],[17] that if $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial on U and if U has holomorphic coordinates, then the completed cyclic homology of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E}))$ is $\mathbb{C}[2n] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2n+2] \oplus \dots$. It follows that

$$H_{\bullet}(\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq \text{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{C}[2n+1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2n+3] \oplus \dots).$$

The desired proposition now follows once note that $\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E}))))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}iff^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))))$. $\square$

Note that $\text{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{C}[2n+1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2n+3] \oplus \dots)$ is a direct sum of copies of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{C}$ concentrated in homological degrees $\geq 2n+1$. By Proposition 3.2.2 of [13],

Corollary 1. $H_1(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{Lie}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq H_c^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $H_i(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{Lie}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E})))) \simeq 0 \ \forall i \leq 0$.

2.4.2 For any algebra A , we have a map of complexes

$$L : C_{\bullet}^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(A))[1] \rightarrow C_{\bullet}^{\lambda}(A)$$

where the right hand side is the Connes complex of A (the quotient of the Hochschild chain complex of A by the image of $1-t$). To be explicit, a Lie $k+1$ chain $M_0 \wedge \dots \wedge M_k$ maps to the k -chain $\sum_{\sigma \in S_k} M_0 \otimes M_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{\sigma(k)}$ of $C_{\bullet}^{\lambda}(M_N(A))$ for N sufficiently large. One then applies the generalized trace map to obtain a k chain in $C_{\bullet}^{\lambda}(A)$.

It is easily verified that the map L extends by continuity to a map of complexes from $\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}iff^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ to the completed Connes complex for $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}iff^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))$. By sheafification followed by taking global sections, it further yields a map of complexes from $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{Lie}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ to $\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E})}))$ which we shall continue to denote by L .

Proposition 6. L induces a nonzero map from $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{Lie}(\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E}))[1]))$ to $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \text{Co}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{E})})))$.

Proof. Recall from [14] that for any algebra A , L induces an isomorphism between the primitive part of $H_{\bullet}^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(A))$ and $\text{HC}_{\bullet-1}(A)$. For the topological algebra $\Gamma(U, \text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))$ on a sufficiently small open subset U of X , it induces an isomorphism between the primitive part of $H_{\bullet}(\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))))$ and the completed cyclic homology of $\Gamma(U, \text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))$. In particular, it induces an isomorphism between $H_{2n}(\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ and $H_{2n}(\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{\lambda}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))))$ both of which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. The desired proposition follows from this. $\square$

It follows from Propositions 4 and 6 that the map $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{Co}} \circ L$ induces a nonzero linear functional on $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]))$. We will denote this linear functional by I_{FLS}^{lie} at the level of chains as well as on homology. Note that if α is a 0-chain in $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$, the component of $L(\alpha)$ in $\text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{E})$ is $\text{Tr}(\alpha_0)$ where α_0 is the component of α in $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{E}))$ and Tr is the usual matrix trace. Therefore,

$$I_{\text{FLS}}^{lie}(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\text{Tr}(\alpha_0)e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}). \quad (5)$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be another holomorphic vector bundle on X . There is a natural map $\iota : \text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ of DG-algebras on X . ι induces a map

$$\bar{\iota} : \Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}))[1]).$$

Proposition 7. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])) & \xrightarrow{H_0(\bar{\iota})} & H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}))[1])) \\ \downarrow I_{\text{FLS}}^{lie, \mathcal{E}} & & I_{\text{FLS}}^{lie, \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}} \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Proof. Let α be a 0-chain in $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$. Clearly, $\text{Tr}(\bar{\iota}(\alpha)_0) = \iota(\text{Tr}(\alpha_0))$. It then follows immediately that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\text{Tr}(\bar{\iota}(\alpha_0))e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}}}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\text{Tr}(\alpha_0)e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}})$$

provided the Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ is chosen by retaining that on $\mathcal{E}$ and choosing an arbitrary Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{F}$. Part 2 of Theorem 4 however, implies that on homology, $I_{\text{FLS}}^{lie, \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}}$ is independent of the choice of Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$. This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

3 Differential forms computing the Lefschetz number.

3.1 Fedosov differentials. The material in this subsection is a by and large a rehash of material from [9] and [19]. We extend a Gelfand-Fuks type construction from [9] in this section. This

construction is a version of a construction that was originally done in [12] by I. M. Gelfand and D. B. Fuks for Lie cocycles with trivial coefficients for the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold. We begin by recalling the construction from [9].

3.1.1 Let r be the rank of $\mathcal{E}$. Let $J_p\mathcal{E}$ denote the bundle of p -jets of local trivializations of $\mathcal{E}$. In particular, $J_1\mathcal{E}$ is the extended frame bundle whose fiber over each $x \in X$ consists of the set of all pairs comprising a basis of $T_{X,x}^{1,0}$ and a basis of $\mathcal{E}_x$. The group $G := GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts on the right on $J_p\mathcal{E}$ for each p . More specifically, given a local isomorphism of bundles $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts by linear coordinate transformations on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts by linear transformations on $\mathbb{C}^r$. This makes $J_1\mathcal{E}$ a principal G -bundle. Let $J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ denote $\varprojlim J_p\mathcal{E}$. Since the natural submersions $J_{p+1}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_p\mathcal{E}$ are G -equivariant, the natural map $J_\infty\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_1\mathcal{E}$ descends to a map $J_\infty\mathcal{E}/G \rightarrow J_1\mathcal{E}/G = X$. Since the fibres of this map are contractible, there exists a smooth section φ of $J_\infty\mathcal{E}/G$ over X . This is equivalent to a G -equivariant section of $J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ over $J_1\mathcal{E}$. This section is unique upto smooth homotopy.

3.1.2 Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ denote $\mathbb{C}[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$. Let W_n denote the Lie algebra of formal holomorphic vector fields (i.e, those of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)\partial_i$ with $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$). Let $W_{n,r}$ denote the Lie algebra $W_n \ltimes \mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$. This semidirect product comes from the action of W_n on $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ by derivations. We recall from [9] that $J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ is a principal $W_{n,r}$ bundle. To be precise, there is a map of Lie algebras from $W_{n,r}$ to the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on $J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ which yields an isomorphism $W_{n,r} \rightarrow T_\phi^{1,0}J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ for each $\phi \in J_\infty\mathcal{E}$. This is equivalent to a $W_{n,r}$ -valued holomorphic 1-form Ω on $J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\Omega + \frac{1}{2}[\Omega, \Omega] = 0.$$

Since there is a natural map of Lie algebras $W_{n,r} \rightarrow M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$, Ω may be viewed as a Maurer-Cartan form with values in $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$.

3.1.3 Consider the bundle $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E} := J_1\mathcal{E} \times_G M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ of algebras with fiberwise product. Any trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over an open subset U of X yields an isomorphism of algebras

$$C^\infty(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}) \simeq C^\infty(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)). \quad (6)$$

More generally, a trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U yields an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)).$$

The G equivariant section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ allows us to pull back Ω . This gives us a one form $\omega := \varphi^*\Omega \in \Omega^1(J_1\mathcal{E}, M_r(\text{Diff}_n))^G$. This in turn yields a flat connection D on the bundle of algebras $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}$. Let us be explicit here. Given a trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U , ω descends to a $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ valued one form ω_U on U satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation. The isomorphism (6) identified the connection D with the "twisted" De-Rham differential $d + [\omega_U, -]$. More generally,

the DG-algebra $(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D)$ is identified with the DG-algebra $(\Omega^\bullet(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega_U, -])$. Over any open subset U of X , the degree 0 sections $\hat{D}$ of $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ satisfying

$$D\hat{D} = 0$$

are in one-to-one correspondence with holomorphic differential operators on $\mathcal{E}$ over U . The differential D is a *Fedosov differential* on the sheaf $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ of DG-algebras on X .

3.1.4 There is a different construction of a Fedosov differential D on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ due to Calaque and Rossi [6] (see also Dolgushev, [7]) in the case when $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$. This goes through with minor modifications for the case when $\mathcal{E}$ is arbitrary. This is a more "careful" construction of a Fedosov differential: it has the following special property (Part 2 of Theorem 10.5 of [6]: note that we do not need Part 1 of this result).

Theorem 5. *There is a map of sheaves of DG-algebras*

$$\mathcal{D}\text{iff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D).$$

Given that the construction of the Fedosov differential D from [6] is a priori different from that of [9], one would like to know whether the Fedosov differential D from [6] can also be obtained via the construction from [9] outlined earlier. The reason why we need this relationship will become clear in later sections. The following paragraphs give a partial affirmative answer to this question that suffices for us. To be precise, in the next paragraph shows that *there exists a G -equivariant section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ associated with any Fedosov differential on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$* . Further, Proposition 8 in Section 3.1.5 shows that *for every $\mathcal{D} \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$, the section of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}$ over U that $\mathcal{D}$ yields via φ (via the construction in Section 3.1.3) coincides with the flat (with respect to D) section of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{D}$* . We also point out that on any open subset U of X on which $J_1\mathcal{E}$ is trivial, any trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U identifies the DG-algebra $(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D)$ with the DG-algebra $(\Omega^\bullet(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega_U, -])$ for some $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ -valued Maurer-Cartan form even in the construction of [6].

3.1.5 The argument here follows Section 4.3 of [10]. Consider any point (x, F) of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ (F being an extended frame over x). For any holomorphic differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ over a neighborhood of x , we have a unique section $\hat{D}$ of $\Omega(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ satisfying $D\hat{D} = 0$. The frame F identifies $\hat{D}$ with an element of $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$. This yields an isomorphism of algebras

$$\Theta : \text{Jets}_x \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M_r(\text{Diff}_n).$$

Moreover, since the above isomorphism preserves the order of the differential operator, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\theta : \text{Jets}_x \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M_r(\mathcal{O}_n).$$

This corresponds to an element in the fibre of $J_\infty\mathcal{E}$ over x . We therefore, obtain a section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_\infty\mathcal{E}$. It is easy to verify that this section is G -equivariant. Note that the isomorphism θ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\theta}$ from $\text{Jets}_x \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})$ to $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$.

Proposition 8. $\tilde{\theta}$ coincides with Θ .

Proof. Note that $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ and $\text{Id} \otimes W_n$ generate $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ as an algebra. It therefore suffices to check that $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}$ and Θ^{-1} coincide on $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ and $\text{Id} \otimes W_n$. Observe that the image of $W_{n,r} := W_n \ltimes \mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ in $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ is precisely the space of all elements of the form $X = D + f$ for some $D \in \text{Id} \otimes W_n$ and some $f \in M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$. We shall continue to denote this image by $W_{n,r}$.

Claim. Every derivation of $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ is of the form $[X, -]$ where $X \in W_{n,r}$. Further, if $X \in M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ and $[X, -]$ is a derivation of $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$, then $X \in W_{n,r}$.

We first prove the proposition assuming our claim. Indeed, Θ^{-1} restricted to $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ coincides with $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}$ restricted to $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ (both of which coincide with θ^{-1}). For any $X \in W_{n,r}$ and for any $g \in M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$, $[\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(X), \theta^{-1}(g)] = \tilde{\theta}^{-1}([X, g]) = \theta^{-1}([X, g]) = \Theta^{-1}([X, g]) = [\Theta^{-1}X, \theta^{-1}g]$. It follows that for any $X \in W_{n,r}$, $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(X) - \Theta^{-1}(X)$ commutes with all elements of $\text{Jets}_x \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$. In other words, $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(X) - \Theta^{-1}(X)$ must be an element of $\theta^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$ for all $X \in W_{n,r}$. In particular, if $X \in \text{id} \otimes W_n$, then $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(X) = \Theta^{-1}(X) + \theta^{-1}(g)$ for some $g \in \text{id} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}^{-1}(X^2) &= \Theta^{-1}(X^2) + \Theta^{-1}(X).\theta^{-1}(g) + \theta^{-1}(g).\Theta^{-1}(X) + \theta^{-1}(g^2) \\ &= \Theta^{-1}(X^2) + \Theta^{-1}(2gX) + \theta^{-1}([X, g] + g^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(X^2) - \Theta^{-1}(X^2)$ is in $\theta^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$ only if $g = 0$. This shows that $\tilde{\theta}^{-1}$ and Θ^{-1} coincide on $\text{id} \otimes W_n$ as well.

Our claim remains to be proven. Recall (from [14] for instance) that for any algebra A ,

$$\text{HH}^1(A) \simeq \frac{\text{Der}(A, A)}{\text{Inner derivations}}.$$

In our case, the composite map

$$W_n \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{O}_n) \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(M_r(\mathcal{O}_n), M_r(\mathcal{O}_n))$$

is an isomorphism. The first arrow above is the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map. The second arrow above is the cotrace. It follows that for any derivation ϕ of $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$, there is an element v of W_n such that the image of v under the above composite map coincides with that of ϕ in $\text{HH}^1(M_r(\mathcal{O}_n), M_r(\mathcal{O}_n))$. Consider the derivation $\bar{v} := [\text{id} \otimes v, -]$ of $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$. It is easy to verify that the image of $\phi - \bar{v}$ in $\text{HH}^1(M_r(\mathcal{O}_n), M_r(\mathcal{O}_n))$ is zero. Hence, $\phi - \bar{v}$ is an inner derivation. In other words, as derivations on $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$, $\phi = [\text{id} \otimes v + f, -]$ for some $v \in W_n$ and some $f \in M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$. This proves the first part of our claim. The second part of our claim is then easy: if $[X, -]$ is a derivation of $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$, then $[X, -] = [Y, -]$ as derivations on $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$ for some $Y \in W_{n,r}$. Hence, $X - Y$ commutes with every element in $M_r(\mathcal{O}_n)$. This shows that $X - Y$ is an element of $\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$. □

3.2 A Gelfand-Fuks type construction. We now recall and extend a construction from [9].

3.2.1 Let A be any DG-algebra. Let $\omega \in A^1$ be a Maurer-Cartan element (i.e, an satisfying $d\omega + \omega^2 = 0$). Let A_ω denote the DGA whose underlying graded algebra is A but whose differential is $d + [\omega, -]$. Let $C_\bullet(A)$ denote the complex of normalized Hochschild chains of A . Let $(\omega)^k$ denote the normalized Hochschild 0-chain $(1, \omega, \dots, \omega)$ (k copies of ω). Denote the set of (p, q) -shuffles by $\text{Sh}_{p,q}$. There is a shuffle product

$$\begin{aligned} \times : A \otimes A^{\otimes p} \otimes A \otimes A^{\otimes q} &\rightarrow A \otimes A^{\otimes p+q} \\ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p) \otimes (b_0, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+q}) &\mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{p,q}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (a_0 b_0, a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma^{-1}(p)}, a_{\sigma^{-1}(p+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma^{-1}(p+q)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $C_\bullet(A) = \oplus_{k \geq 1} A \otimes A^{\otimes k}[k-1]$ as graded vector spaces. The differential on $C_\bullet(A)$ extends naturally to a differential on $C_\bullet^\Pi(A) := \Pi_{k \geq 1} A \otimes A^{\otimes k}[k-1]$ making the natural map of graded vector spaces a map of complexes. One has the following proposition due to Engeli and Felder [9]. Though [9] used normalized Hochschild chains, their proof goes through in the current context as well.

Proposition 9. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} C_\bullet^\Pi(A_\omega) &\rightarrow C_\bullet^\Pi(A) \\ x &\mapsto x \times \sum_k (-1)^k (\omega)^k \end{aligned}$$

is a term by term isomorphism of complexes.

3.2.2 Recall that $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts on a formal neighborhood of the origin by linear coordinate changes. This induces an action of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on Diff_n . The derivative of this action embeds the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ in Diff_n as the Lie subalgebra of operators of the form $\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} y_k \partial_j$. We say that the normalized cocycle $\alpha \in C^p(\text{Diff}_n)$ is $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -basic if α is $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant and if $\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i+1} \alpha(a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_p) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and any $a_0, \dots, a_p \in \text{Diff}_n$. More generally, $G := GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$. The action of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ is induced by the action of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on Diff_n and $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ by conjugation. The derivative of this action embeds $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_r$ as a Lie subalgebra of $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ of elements of the form $\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} z_k \partial_j \otimes \text{id}_{r \times r} + B$ where $B \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$. Again, a cocycle α in $C^p(M_r(\text{Diff}_n))$ is said to be G basic if α is G -invariant and $\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i+1} \alpha(a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_p) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and any $a_0, \dots, a_p \in M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$. The following proposition is immediate from equation (1).

Proposition 10. *If ψ_{2n} is $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -basic then ψ_{2n}^r is G -basic.*

We also recall that a cocycle $\alpha \in C^p(M_r(\text{Diff}_n))$ is said to be *continuous* if it depends only on finitely many Taylor coefficients of its arguments.

3.2.3 Let ψ_{2n}^r be a continuous, G -basic Hochschild $2n$ -cocycle of $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$. Evaluation at ψ_{2n}^r gives a map of graded vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bullet}^{\Pi}(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d) &\rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U) \\ (\omega_0 a_0, \dots, \omega_p a_p) &\mapsto \psi_{2n}^r(a_0, \dots, a_p) \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \\ \text{for all } a_1, \dots, a_p &\in M_r(\text{Diff}_n), \omega_1, \dots, \omega_p \in \Omega^{\bullet}(U). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By convention, $\psi_{2n}^r(a_0, \dots, a_p) = 0$ if $p \neq 2n$.

Proposition 11. *The map (7) is a map of complexes (with $\Omega^{2n-p}(U)$ equipped with the differential $(-1)^p d_{DR}$).*

Proof. Note that any Hochschild p -chain α of $A := (\Omega^{\bullet}(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d)$ can be expressed as a sum of terms $\sum_i \alpha_i$ where α_i has De-Rham degree i and is an element of $A \otimes A^{p+i}$. By definition, $\psi_{2n}^r(\alpha) = \psi_{2n}^r(\alpha_{2n-p})$. Let δ denote the differential on the Hochschild chain complex of A . Then, $(\delta\alpha)_{2n-p} = (-1)^p d_{DR} \alpha_{2n-p} + d_{hoch} \alpha_{2n-p+1}$. Since ψ_{2n}^r is a cocycle, $\psi_{2n}^r(d_{hoch} \alpha_{2n-p+1}) = 0$. The desired proposition is now immediate. $\square$

Observe that there is a natural map of complexes $C_{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}^{\Pi}(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D)$. Also, the graded involution on $\Omega^{\bullet}(U)$ acting on $\Omega^p(U)$ by multiplication by $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ is a map of complexes between $(\Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U), (-1)^{\bullet} d_{DR})$ and $\Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)$. Recall from Section 3.1.4 that a trivialization of $J_1 \mathcal{E}$ over U identifies $(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D)$ with $\Omega_{\omega}^{\bullet}(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n))$ where ω is a $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ -valued 1-form on U satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation. By propositions 9 and 11, we have a map of complexes

$$C_{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U). \quad (8)$$

Explicitly, the map (8) maps a chain $\mu \in C_p(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D)$ to

$$(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{2n-p}{2} \rfloor} \psi_{2n}^r(\hat{\mu} \times \sum_k (-1)^k (\omega)^k)$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ is the image of μ in $C_p^{\Pi}(\Omega_{\omega}^{\bullet}(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)))$.

Proposition 12. *The map (8) is independent of the trivialization of $J_1 \mathcal{E}$ used.*

Proof. A different trivialization of $J_1 \mathcal{E}$ over U differs from the chosen one by a gauge change $g : U \rightarrow G$. A section μ of $\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}})$ transforms into $g.\mu$. The Maurer-Cartan form ω is replaced by $g.\omega - dg.g^{-1}$. That $\psi_{2n}^r(\alpha \times \sum_k \pm (\omega)^k) = \psi_{2n}^r(g.\alpha \times \sum_k \pm (g.\omega - dg.g^{-1})^k)$ is immediate from the fact that ψ_{2n}^r is G -basic. This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

It follows that the map (8) gives a map of complexes of presheaves

$$(U \mapsto C_{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D)) \rightarrow (U \mapsto \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)). \quad (9)$$

Note that whatever we said so far is true for any Fedosov differential no matter how it was constructed. Of course, the map of complexes of presheaves (9) depends on the Fedosov differential D . It follows from Theorem 5 that we obtain a composite map of complexes of presheaves

$$(U \mapsto C_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))) \rightarrow (U \mapsto C_\bullet(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D)) \rightarrow (U \mapsto \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)). \quad (10)$$

Proposition 13. *The composite map (10) extends to a map of complexes of sheaves*

$$\widetilde{\text{hoch}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2n-\bullet}.$$

Proof. Denote the composite map (10) by $f_\mathcal{E}$. For each open subset U of X , $f_\mathcal{E}$ yields a map of complexes from $C_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$ to $\Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)$. As described in Section 2.1.1, $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$ has the structure of a (graded) locally convex topological vector space. One can verify without difficulty that the subcomplex $C_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$ is dense in $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$ and that the differential of $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$ is continuous. Similarly, $\Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)$ has the structure of a (graded) complete locally convex topological vector space and the de-Rham differential is continuous with respect to this topology.

Suppose that $f_\mathcal{E}$ is continuous. Then, $f_\mathcal{E}$ extends to a map of complexes from $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))$ to $\Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)$ for each U . This can be easily seen to yield a map of complexes of presheaves

$$(U \mapsto \widehat{C}_\bullet(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})(U))) \rightarrow (U \mapsto \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)).$$

On sheafification, f yields a map of complexes of sheaves

$$\widetilde{\text{hoch}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2n-\bullet}$$

proving the desired proposition. Indeed, continuity of $f_\mathcal{E}$ follows from continuity of ψ_{2n}^r . Since ψ_{2n}^r is continuous, C^l -norms of $f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k)$ over compact subsets of U are estimated by $C^{l'}$ -norms of finitely many Taylor coefficients of the images $\hat{a}_i$ of the a_i in $\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ over compact subsets of U . □

The map of complexes of sheaves obtained in Proposition 13 depends on the cocycle ψ_{2n} . We shall denote this map by $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$. Recall that there is a natural map of complexes of sheaves $\widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{hoch}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))}$. Let U be a subset of X with local holomorphic coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_n$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial over U . By Convention 1, the standard generator for the homology of $\Gamma(U, \widehat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))$ is a cycle $c_\mathcal{E}(U)$ mapping to the normalized Hochschild $2n$ -cycle

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma(\text{Id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes 1, \text{Id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes z_1, \text{Id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \text{Id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes z_n, \text{Id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}).$$

Let c_{2n}^r denote the normalized Hochschild $2n$ -cycle

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma(\text{Id}_{r \times r} \otimes 1, \text{Id}_{r \times r} \otimes y_1, \text{Id}_{r \times r} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \text{Id}_{r \times r} \otimes y_n, \text{Id}_{r \times r} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n})$$

of $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$. Note that $\psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r)$ makes sense since ψ_{2n}^r is G -basic.

Proposition 14. $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)) = \psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r)$.

Proof. Note that any element $\mathcal{D}$ of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E})(U)$ gives a holomorphic $M_r(\text{Diff}_n)$ -valued function $\tilde{D}$ on $J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U$, satisfying $d\tilde{D} + [\Omega, \tilde{D}] = 0$ where Ω is the Maurer-Cartan form from Section 3.1.2. It follows that there is a map of complexes

$$C_{\bullet}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E})(U)) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}((\Omega^{\bullet,0}(J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\Omega, -])) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}^{\Pi}(\Omega^{\bullet,0}(J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n))).$$

The last arrow is by Proposition 9. Evaluation at ψ_{2n}^r followed by applying the involution multiplying p -forms by $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ therefore yields a map of complexes

$$C_{\bullet}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E})(U)) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet,0}(J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U).$$

Given a section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}$ and a trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U , one has a composite map

$$C_{\bullet}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E})(U)) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet,0}(J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(J_1\mathcal{E}|_U) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U). \quad (11)$$

The middle arrow above is pullback by the section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}$. The rightmost arrow above is pullback by the section of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ arising out of the trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ that we have chosen over U . Any section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E}|_U \rightarrow J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U$ is *unique upto homotopy*. It follows from this that the composite map (11) is unique upto homotopy for a fixed trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U . This fact and the proof of Proposition 12 together imply that the image of $c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)$ under (11) is independent of the precise choice of φ and of trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U . To compute it, we could choose φ to be the section taking $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ to the formal derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ and z_i to $y_i + a_i$ at $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. In this situation, the image of $c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)$ is indeed seen to be $\psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r)$ in homological degree $2n$.

For any section φ of $J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U$ over $J_1\mathcal{E}$ and for any trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U , the map (11) takes $(\mathcal{D}_0, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{2n})$ to $\psi_{2n}^r(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_0, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n)$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is the section of $\Omega^{\bullet}(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n))$ corresponding to $\mathcal{D}$ (satisfying $d\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + [\omega, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}] = 0$ where ω is as in Section 3.1.3). Let φ be a section of $J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U$ over $J_1\mathcal{E}$ associated with the Fedosov differential D on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}})$ as in Section 3.1.5. Proposition 8 implies that $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ takes $(\mathcal{D}_0, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{2n})$ to $\psi_{2n}^r(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_0, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n)$. Thus, $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)) = \psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r)$. $\square$

3.3 Properties of $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$. Let $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$ denote the bounded derived category of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on X . Then, the map $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ of Proposition 13 induces a morphism in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$. Recall that the natural embedding of $\mathbb{C}$ into $\Omega^{\bullet}(U)$ for any open $U \subset X$ is a quasi-isomorphism. This induces a quasiisomorphism of complexes of sheaves $\underline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{\bullet}$ on X between the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$.

Proposition 15. *The following diagram commutes in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{hoch}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))} & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathcal{E}}} & \Omega_X^{2n-\bullet} \\ \uparrow \beta_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} & & \uparrow \\ \underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n] & \xrightarrow{\begin{smallmatrix} [\psi_{2n}] \\ [\tau_{2n}] \end{smallmatrix} id} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n] \end{array}$$

In particular, the map $f_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $D^b(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$ is independent of the choice of Fedosov connection on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proof. As objects in $D^b(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$, $\mathrm{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ as well as $\Omega_X^{2n-\bullet}$ are isomorphic to the shifted constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n]$. Since $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is an injective object in the category of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on X (since it is flabby),

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows that it is enough to verify this proposition for each open subset U of X with local holomorphic coordinates on which $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial. For such a U , the generalized trace map maps $c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)$ to a $2n$ -cycle mapping to the normalized Hochschild $2n$ -cycle

$$r \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma(1, z_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, z_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}).$$

It follows from Convention 1, Section 2.1 that $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}(c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)) = r$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{r}c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)$ is a $2n$ -cycle mapped to 1 by $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}$. It follows that $f_{\mathcal{E}}(\beta_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}(1)) = \frac{1}{r}f_{\mathcal{E}}(c_{\mathcal{E}}(U)) = \frac{1}{r}\psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r)$. The last equality is by Proposition 14. However $\psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r) = \frac{[\psi_{2n}^r]}{[\tau_{2n}^r]}\tau_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r)$. But $\frac{[\psi_{2n}^r]}{[\tau_{2n}^r]} = \frac{[\psi_{2n}]}{[\tau_{2n}]}$ by equation (1). Further, since $\tau_{2n}(c_{2n}) = 1$ (see [10]), $\tau_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r) = r$ by (1). Therefore, $\frac{1}{r}\psi_{2n}^r(c_{2n}^r) = \frac{[\psi_{2n}]}{[\tau_{2n}]}$. This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

Since $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ is a map of complexes of sheaves, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_c(X, \mathrm{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathcal{E}))) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \alpha &\mapsto \int_X f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

descends to a (nonzero) linear functional on $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \mathrm{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathcal{E}))))$. We shall denote this linear functional by $\int_X f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$.

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1. Proposition 15 implies that $[f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}(\alpha)] = \frac{[\psi_{2n}]}{[\tau_{2n}]}[\alpha]$ in $H_c^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C})$ for any 0-cycle α in $\Gamma_c(X, \mathrm{hoch}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathcal{E})))$. Theorem 1 follows immediately from this observation and Theorem 4.

3.5 Remarks. $f_{\mathcal{E}, \psi_{2n}}$ induces a map of complexes

$$C_{\bullet}(\mathrm{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(X).$$

This can equivalently be viewed as a family $\Theta_i \in \Omega^i(C^{2n-i}(\mathrm{Diff}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E})))$ of cochain valued forms satisfying the differential equations

$$d_{DR}\Theta_i = \pm \delta\Theta_{i+1}$$

where δ is the differential on the Hochschild cochain complex $C^{2n-i}(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$. In particular, Θ_{2n} is a $2n$ -form with values in $C^0(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))$. When X is compact, $\int_X \Theta_{2n}$ is precisely the Hochschild 0-cocycle (12). This viewpoint seeing (12) as coming from "integrating ψ_{2n}^T over X " is taken by [19].

More generally, there is a modified cyclic chain complex $\text{Cycl}(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ related closely to $\text{hoch}(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathcal{E}))$ (see Section 2.3). The construction of this section can be repeated for a continuous G -basic *cyclic* $2n + 2p$ -cocycle ν_{2n+2p} (see [16],[21]). One obtains a map of complexes

$$\Gamma_c(X, \text{Cycl}(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathcal{E}))) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{2n+2p-\bullet}(X)$$

as a result. When X is compact, and $k \geq p$, the above map on the $2k$ -th homology yields a map

$$H^{2n-2k}(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{2n-2k+2}(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{2n+2p-2k}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

It would be interesting to understand this map further.

4 Integrating the lifting formula Ψ_{2n+1} .

We begin by outlining the construction of Ψ_{2n+1} in Section 4.1. Section 4.2 is devoted to proving Theorem 3.

Notation. For any algebra A , $M_r(A)$ will denote the algebra of $r \times r$ matrices with entries in A . $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(A)$ will denote the Lie algebra of finite matrices with entries in A . $M_\infty(A)$ will denote the algebra of infinite matrices M with entries in A such that the (i, j) -th entry of M vanishes whenever $|i - j| > C(M)$ where $C(M)$ is a constant (depending on M).

4.1 About the lifting formula Ψ_{2n+1} .

4.1.1 The only explicit fact about the Lifting formula Ψ_{2n+1} that we shall use in this paper is its form. Let ΨDiff_n denote the algebra $\mathbb{C}[[y_1, \dots, y_n]][y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_n^{-1}][[\partial_1^{-1}, \dots, \partial_n^{-1}]]$ ($\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$) of formal pseudodifferential operators. The coefficient at $y_1^{-1} \dots y_n^{-1} \partial_1^{-1} \dots \partial_n^{-1}$ defines a linear functional on ΨDiff_n . This functional vanishes on commutators of elements of ΨDiff_n . We therefore call it a trace and denote it by $\text{Tr}_{\Psi\text{Diff}_n} : \Psi\text{Diff}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (see [1]). Recall that the "usual" matrix trace $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}}$ yields a linear map from $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(A)$ to A for any algebra A . It can then be verified that $\text{Tr}_{\Psi\text{Diff}_n} \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}}$ yields a trace on the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Psi\text{Diff}_n)$.

For any $\alpha \in A$, let $\alpha \otimes 1_\infty$ denote the diagonal matrix $\alpha \in M_\infty(A)$. Let D_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ be the derivations on $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Psi\text{Diff}_n)$ given by $D_i = \text{ad}(\ln(x_i) \otimes 1_\infty)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $D_i = \text{ad}(\ln(\partial_{i-n}) \otimes 1_\infty)$ for $n+1 \leq i \leq 2n$. We recall from [20] that $[D_i, D_j] = \text{ad}(Q_{ij} \otimes 1_\infty)$ for some elements Q_{ij} of ΨDiff_n .

Consider the set $\mathcal{S}$ of all markings of the interval $[1, 2n - 1]$ such that

- (i) Only finitely many integral points are marked.
- (ii) The distance between any two distinct marked points is at least 2.

Note that the “empty” marking where no point is marked is also an element of $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $t \in \mathcal{S}$ be a marking of $[1, 2n - 1]$ marking the integers $i_1, \dots, i_k$. Define

$$\mathcal{O}(t)(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1}) = \text{Alt}_{A,D}(\text{Tr}_{\Psi\text{Diff}_n} \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}}(P_{1,t} \circ \dots \circ P_{2n+1,t}))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } j \text{ is marked, } P_{j,t} &= A_j Q_{j,j+1} \text{ and } P_{j+1,t} = A_{j+1} \\ P_{j,t} &= D_j(A_j) \text{ if } j \text{ and } j-1 \text{ are not marked and } j \neq 2n+1 \\ P_{2n+1,t} &= A_{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that 0 and $2n$ should be thought of as unmarked by default in the above formula. If t is the “empty” marking,

$$\mathcal{O}(t)(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1}) = \text{Alt}_{A,D}(\text{Tr}_{\Psi\text{Diff}_n} \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}}(D_1(A_1) \dots D_{2n}(A_{2n}) A_{2n+1})).$$

Theorem 6. ([20]) *The linear functional*

$$(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1}) \mapsto \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{O}(t)(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1})$$

is a $2n+1$ -cocycle in $C_{\text{Lie}}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\Psi\text{Diff}_n); \mathbb{C})$.

The natural inclusion of algebras $\text{Diff}_n \subset \Psi\text{Diff}_n$ extends to an inclusion $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}_n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\Psi\text{Diff}_n)$. The formula from Theorem 6 therefore, gives us the cocycle $\Psi_{2n+1} \in C_{\text{Lie}}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}_n), \mathbb{C})$. Ψ_{2n+1} is referred to as a lifting formula.

4.1.2 Note that for any algebra A , we have isomorphisms

$$M_m(A) \otimes M_r(\mathbb{C}) \simeq M_{rm}(A)$$

of algebras. Taking the direct limit of these isomorphisms, we obtain a map of algebras (and therefore, Lie algebras)

$$i_m : \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(M_m(A)) \simeq M_m(A) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(A).$$

It follows that Ψ_{2n+1} yields a cocycle in $C_{\text{lie}}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(M_m(\text{Diff}_n)), \mathbb{C})$ as well. We denote this cocycle by Ψ_{2n+1}^m .

For $M \in M_m(\text{Diff}_n)$, $M \otimes \text{id}$ shall refer to the element $i_m(M \otimes \text{id})$ of $M_{\infty}(\text{Diff}_n)$. For any $p > m$, let $\iota_{m,p} : M_m(\text{Diff}_n) \rightarrow M_p(\text{Diff}_n)$ denote the natural embedding obtained by “padding with zeros”.

Proposition 16. *If $A_1, \dots, A_{2n}, M \in M_m(\text{Diff}_n)$ and $B_1, \dots, B_{2n} \in M_p(\text{Diff}_n)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{2n+1}^{m+p}((A_1 \oplus B_1) \otimes \text{id}, \dots, (A_{2n} \oplus B_{2n}) \otimes \text{id}, \iota_{m,m+p}(M) \otimes E_{1,1}) \\ = \Psi_{2n+1}^m(A_1 \otimes \text{id}, \dots, A_{2n} \otimes \text{id}, M \otimes E_{1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $t \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(t)((A_1 \oplus B_1) \otimes \text{id}, \dots, (A_{2n} \oplus B_{2n}) \otimes \text{id}, \iota_{m,m+p}(M) \otimes E_{1,1}) \\ = \mathcal{O}(t)(A_1 \otimes \text{id}, \dots, A_{2n} \otimes \text{id}, M \otimes E_{1,1}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The summands on the left hand side of (4.1) are of the form $\text{Tr}_{\Psi\text{Diff}_n} \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}}(X_1 \circ \dots \circ X_r)$ where $X_i = (A_j \oplus B_j) \otimes \text{id}$ or $X_i = \iota_{m,m+p}(M) \otimes E_{1,1}$ or $X_i = \lambda \otimes \text{id}$ for some element λ of ΨDiff_n . It is easy to see that this summand does not change if each X_i of the form $X_i = (A_j \oplus B_j) \otimes \text{id}$ is replaced by $A_j \otimes \text{id}$. Doing this however transforms the sum on the left hand side to that on the right hand side. $\square$

4.2 Constructing Shoikhet's holomorphic noncommutative residue in general.

4.2.1 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a DG-Lie algebra. Then, $\{C_{p,q} := (\wedge^p \mathfrak{g})^{-q} | p \geq 1\}$ becomes a double complex whose horizontal differential is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and whose vertical differential is that induced by the differential intrinsic to $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote the complex $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C_{\bullet,\bullet})$ by $C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\text{lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Similarly we denote $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C_{\bullet,\bullet})$ by $C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\Pi,\text{lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. There is a natural map of complexes from $C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\text{lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to $C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\Pi,\text{lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ be a Maurer-Cartan element. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\omega$ denote the twisted Lie algebra whose underlying differential is $d + [\omega, -]$.

Proposition 17. *There is a natural map of complexes*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\Pi,\text{lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_\omega) &\rightarrow C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\Pi,\text{lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ (g_0, \dots, g_k) &\mapsto \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} (g_0, \dots, g_k, \omega, \omega, \dots, \omega) \quad (j \text{ } \omega \text{'s}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since this proposition is completely analogous to Proposition 2.4 of [9], we shall only sketch the proof. Denote the differential of $\mathfrak{g}$ by d . Let d_{CE} denote the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. Let $(\omega)^j := \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega$ j times.

Step 1. One first notes that

$$d_{CE}(\omega)^j = \frac{j(j-1)}{2} [\omega, \omega] \wedge (\omega)^{j-2} = -j(j-1) d\omega \wedge (\omega)^{j-2} = -jd(\omega^{j-1}).$$

The middle equality above is because ω is a Maurer-Cartan element. It follows that if $\phi_j := \frac{1}{j!}(\omega)^j$, then

$$d_{CE}\phi_j = -d\phi_{j-1}. \quad (14)$$

Step 2. Let $g_0, \dots, g_k$ be homogenous elements of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let d_i denote the degree of g_i . Let $\mathcal{G} := g_0 \wedge \dots \wedge g_k$. One then verifies (by a direct calculation) that

$$d_{CE}(\mathcal{G} \wedge \phi_j) = (d_{CE}\mathcal{G}) \wedge \phi_j + (-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{G} \wedge d_{CE}\phi_j + (-1)^{d_0+\dots+d_k+k+1}(\text{ad}(\omega)\mathcal{G}) \wedge \phi_{k-1}. \quad (15)$$

The desired proposition follows from equations (14) and (15) after inserting the relevant definitions and summing over k . $\square$

Notation. In some situations in this section, we find it better to specify the differential of a DG-Lie algebra: if d is the differential on a DG-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we often denote $C_\bullet^{lie}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $C_\bullet^{\Pi,lie}(\mathfrak{g})$ by $C_\bullet^{lie}(\mathfrak{g}, d)$ and $C_\bullet^{\Pi,lie}(\mathfrak{g}, d)$ respectively.

4.2.2 Let D be the Fedosov differential on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ chosen as in Section 3.1.4. This choice ensures that there is a morphism $\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})$ of sheaves of DG-algebras on X . Let U be an open subset of X on which $J_1\mathcal{E}$ is trivial. Recall that any trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ on an open subset U of X induces an term by term isomorphism of sheaves DG-algebras $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E})_U, D) \rightarrow (\Omega_U^\bullet(\text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega, -])$. Also, $\omega \otimes 1_N$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)))$ for sufficiently large N . We will continue to denote this element by ω for notational brevity.

One therefore has the following composite map of complexes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\bullet^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D)) & \longrightarrow & C_\bullet^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega, -])) \\ \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_\bullet^{\Pi,lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d)) & \longleftarrow & C_\bullet^{\Pi,lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega, -])) \end{array} \quad (16)$$

The horizontal arrow on top is from the isomorphism of $(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D)$ with $(\Omega^\bullet(U, \text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega, -])$ induced by φ . The vertical arrow on the right is the natural map mentioned in Section 4.2.1. The horizontal arrow on the bottom is from Proposition 17. Let Ξ_{2n+1} be any continuous $2n+1$ cocycle in $C_{lie}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), \mathbb{C})$. As in Proposition 11, Section 3.2.3, evaluation at Ξ_{2n+1} yields a map of complexes from $C_\bullet^{\Pi,lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \text{M}_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d))[1]$ to $\Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U)$ (with the differential on Ω^{2n-p} being $(-1)^p d_{DR}$). Composing this map with θ , and applying the involution multiplying a p -form by $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$, we obtain a map of complexes

$$(\varphi, \Xi_{2n+1})_* : C_\bullet^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D))[1] \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U). \quad (17)$$

Explicitly, if $\mu \in C_p^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E}), D))$,

$$(\varphi, \Xi_{2n+1})_*(\mu) = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{2n-p}{2} \rfloor} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \Xi_{2n+1}(\hat{\mu} \wedge \omega^k) \quad (18)$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ is the image of μ in $C_p^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega, -]))$. In particular, we obtain a map of complexes

$$C_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}))) [1] \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U).$$

Proposition 18. *The above map extends to a map*

$$\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1}) : \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})) [1] \rightarrow \Omega_U^{2n-\bullet}$$

of complexes of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on U .

Proof. This follows from the continuity of Ξ_{2n+1} . The argument proving this is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 13. However, since Ξ_{2n+1} may not be $G := GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ -basic, we can only guarantee the existence of a map of complexes of sheaves over U . $\square$

Corollary 2. *If X is complex parallelizable and $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial, $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ yields a map of complexes of sheaves $\widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})) [1] \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2n-\bullet}$ on X .*

Proof. The obstruction to globalizing $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ comes from the fact that there is no consistent way of choosing a section of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over X in general. $\square$

4.2.3 Properties of $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$.

Proposition 19. *For a fixed trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$, the map induced by $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$ depends only on the class of Ξ_{2n+1} in $H_{lie}^{2n+1}(M_r(\text{Diff}_n))$.*

Proof. We will show that if Ξ_{2n+1} is a coboundary, then $(\varphi, \Xi_{2n+1})_*$ is null-homotopic. The homotopy we shall provide will automatically yield a homotopy between $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ and 0. Recall that the map $(\varphi, \Xi_{2n+1})_*$ was obtained by composing the map θ from the commutative diagram 16 with evaluation at Ξ_{2n+1} followed by multiplying p -forms by $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$. If $\Xi_{2n+1} = d\beta$, evaluation at β gives a homotopy between evaluation at Ξ_{2n+1} and 0. This proves that $(\varphi, \Xi_{2n+1})_*$ is null-homotopic when $\Xi_{2n+1} = d\beta$. Explicitly, if $\mu \in C_p^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}), D))$, our homotopy maps μ to $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{2n-1-p}{2} \rfloor} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \beta(\hat{\mu} \wedge \omega^k)$ where $\hat{\mu}$ is the image of μ in $C_p^{lie}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\Omega^\bullet(U, M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), d + [\omega, -]))$. It is easy to see that since β is a continuous cochain, our homotopy yields a homotopy between $\lambda(d\beta)$ and the 0 map. $\square$

Proposition 20. *The map induced by $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$ is independent of the trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ chosen.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $H_{lie}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ denote the Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ (with coefficients in the trivial module). The proof of Lemma 5.2 of [10] goes through with minor modifications to show that

$$H_{lie}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(M_r(\text{Diff}_n)), \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_r) \simeq H_{lie}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(M_r(\text{Diff}_n))).$$

It follows that there exists a G -basic cocycle Θ_{2n+1} such that $[\Theta_{2n+1}] = [\Xi_{2n+1}]$ in $H_{lie}^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(M_r(\text{Diff}_n)))$. By Proposition 19, $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1}) = \lambda(\Theta_{2n+1})$ as a morphism in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$. The proof that $\lambda(\Theta_{2n+1})$ is independent of the chosen trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ (as a morphism in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$) is identical that of proposition 12 (with the obvious modifications). $\square$

Proposition 21. *The map induced by $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$ is independent of the Fedosov differential D on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}})$ (for a fixed trivialization of $J_1\mathcal{E}$ over U).*

Proof. From the discussion in Section 2.4.1, $\widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n] \oplus V$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$ where V is a direct sum of shifted constant sheaves concentrated in homological degree $\geq 2n + 2$. Also, $\Omega^{2n-\bullet}$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n]$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$. Since $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is an injective object in the category of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces, $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])}(V, \underline{\mathbb{C}}[2n]) = 0$. It therefore suffices to show that $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ applied to a fixed $2n$ cycle generating the $2n$ -st homology of $\Gamma(U, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ is independent of the choice of Fedosov differential.

In fact, since $J_1\mathcal{E}$ is trivial over U , the $2n$ -th homology of $\Gamma(U, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ is in fact generated by a $2n$ -cycle $c_{\mathcal{E}}^{lie}(U)$ in $\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ (see Section 2.4.1).

As in the proof of Proposition 14, given any (G -equivariant) section $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}$, one has a composite map of complexes

$$\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1] \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet, 0}(J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}|_U) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(J_1\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-\bullet}(U). \quad (19)$$

The "restriction" of the first map above to $C_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ is constructed just like the analogous map in the proof of Proposition 14. The middle arrow is φ^* where $\varphi : J_1\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_{\infty}\mathcal{E}$. The third is the pullback by the chosen section of $J_1\mathcal{E}$. Since φ is unique upto homotopy, the image of $c_{\mathcal{E}}^{lie}(U)$ under the composite map (19) is independent of φ . Finally, Proposition 8 tells us that if φ is the section associated with the Fedosov connection D on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (as in Section 3.1.5), the image of $c_{\mathcal{E}}^{lie}(U)$ under the composite map (19) coincides with the image of $c_{\mathcal{E}}^{lie}(U)$ under the "restriction" of $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ to $\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$. This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

Propositions 20 and 21 show that the map induced by $\lambda(\Xi_{2n+1})$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$ is independent of the choices made to define it. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be another holomorphic vector bundle on X . Let ι denote the natural map of sheaves of DG-algebras between $\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ and $\text{Diff}^\bullet(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$. Note that ι induces a map of sheaves $\bar{\iota} : \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1] \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}))[1]$ on X . Let r and s be the ranks of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively. Recall that for every positive integer m we have the lifting cocycle Ψ_{2n+1}^m described in Section 4.1.2 ($\Psi_{2n+1}^1 = \Psi_{2n+1}$).

Proposition 22. *The following diagram commutes in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}))[1] \\ \downarrow \lambda(\Psi_{2n}^r) & & \downarrow \lambda(\psi_{2n}^{r+s}) \\ \Omega_U^{2n-\bullet} & \xrightarrow{id} & \Omega_U^{2n-\bullet} \end{array}$$

Proof. Recall that there is a natural map of complexes from $\widehat{C}_{\bullet}^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ to $\Gamma(U, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ (and similarly for $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$). As observed while proving Proposition 5, this

map is an isomorphism on homology, and the constant sheaf of U corresponding to the homology of $\widehat{C}_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))[1]$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]$ in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[U])$. It therefore, suffices to show that the following diagram commutes upto homology.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{C}_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & \widehat{C}_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}))))[1] \\
\downarrow \lambda(\Psi_{2n}^r) & & \downarrow \lambda(\psi_{2n}^{r+s}) \\
\Omega_U^{2n-\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \Omega_U^{2n-\bullet}
\end{array} \tag{20}$$

By arguments paralleling the proofs of propositions 20 and 21, we are free to choose the sections $U \rightarrow J_\infty \mathcal{E}|_U$ and $U \rightarrow J_\infty(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})|_U$ that we shall use. Fix holomorphic coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_n$ on U . Fix a trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$ over U . Also fix a trivialization of $\mathcal{F}$ over U . If $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_j\}$ are the ordered bases of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E})$ and $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ (over $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$) we have chosen in our trivializations, we trivialize $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ by choosing the ordered basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$. With these choices, we obtain sections of $J_1 \mathcal{E}$ and $J_1(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ over U . The chosen coordinate system and trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$ identify $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))$ with $M_r(\text{Diff}(U))$. The (G -equivariant) section of $J_\infty \mathcal{E}$ we choose is the one that maps $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ to $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ and $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ to

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \sum_I \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^I} |_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} y^I.$$

Let $D \in \Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E})))$ be arbitrary. Let $\hat{D}$ denote the flat section of $C^\infty(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(M_r(\text{Diff}_n)))$ corresponding to D . Note that by our choices of sections of $J_\infty \mathcal{E}$ and $J_\infty(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$,

$$\iota(\widehat{D}) = \iota_{r, r+s} \hat{D}.$$

It follows from equation (18) and Proposition 16 that the following diagram commutes literally (not just upto homology).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & C_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}))))[1] \\
\downarrow \lambda(\Psi_{2n}^r) & & \downarrow \lambda(\psi_{2n}^{r+s}) \\
\Omega_U^{2n-\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \Omega_U^{2n-\bullet}
\end{array} \tag{21}$$

Since $C_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ is dense in $\widehat{C}_\bullet^{lie}(\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))))[1]$ (and similarly for $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$), and since all the maps involved in diagram (21) are continuous, commutativity of diagram (20) follows (note that this "commutativity on the nose" of diagram (20) is with our convenient choice of section of $J_\infty \mathcal{E}|_U$ over $J_1 \mathcal{E}|_U$ as well as our choice trivialization of $J_1 \mathcal{E}$ over U). This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

We remark that our proof of Propositions 20, 21 and 22 go through for arbitrary complex parallelizable manifolds.

4.2.4 Proof of Theorem 3. *For this subsection, we shall assume that X is complex parallelizable and $\mathcal{E}$ has bounded geometry.* By propositions 20 and 21, for any choice used in the construction of $\lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r)$, the map

$$\int_X \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r) : \Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \int_X \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r)(\alpha)$$

induces the same map on the 0-th homology of $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$. On the other hand, we saw in Section 2.4.2 that $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{lie}, \mathcal{E}}$ induces a map on the 0-th homology of $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ as well. By Corollary 1, $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]))$ is a 1-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. It follows that as linear functionals on $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]))$,

$$\int_X \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r) = C(X, \mathcal{E}) \cdot I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{lie}, \mathcal{E}}.$$

Propositions 7 and 22 together with the nontriviality of $I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{lie}, \mathcal{E}}$ on homology imply that $C(X, \mathcal{E}) = C(X, \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ for any vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ with bounded geometry on X . This shows that $C(X, \mathcal{E})$ is independent of $\mathcal{E}$.

For the rest of this subsection assume that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Let U be an open subset of X with holomorphic coordinates that identify U with an open disk in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Choose a nontrivial 0-cycle α of $\Gamma_c(U, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{D}\text{iff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$. Note that after making the necessary choices, the construction of $\lambda(\Psi_{2n+1})$ is local in nature. It follows that

$$\int_U \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1})(\alpha) = \int_X \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1})(j_* \alpha) \quad (22)$$

where $j : U \rightarrow X$ is the natural inclusion.

Further, if ϕ is an element of $\text{Diff}^0(X)$ that is compactly supported on U ,

$$\text{str}(\phi e^{-t\Delta_X}) = \text{str}(\phi e^{-t\Delta_U}) \quad (23)$$

for any $t > 0$. In the right hand side of the above equation, we think of ϕ and $e^{-t\Delta_U}$ as endomorphisms of the space of square integrable sections of $K^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_U}$. To see this, note that if $p_t(x, y)$ is the kernel of $e^{-t\Delta_X}$,

$$\text{str}(\phi e^{-t\Delta_X}) = \int_X \text{str}(\phi p_t(x, x)) |dx| = \int_U \text{str}(\phi p_t(x, x)) |dx| = \text{str}(\phi e^{-t\Delta_U}).$$

The second equality above is because ϕ is compactly supported on U . The third equality above is because the heat kernel on U is unique once the choice of Hermitian metric on $K^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_U}$ is fixed

(see [8]). It follows from equation (22) (in the case when $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$) and equation (23) that $C(X, \mathcal{E})$ is independent of X as well. This proves Theorem 3.

4.2.5 *Once again, let X be an arbitrary compact complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ be a complex of sheaves on X such that each $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a module over the sheaf of smooth functions on X . Suppose also that $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is in $D^b(\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}[X])$. Let $\mathfrak{U} := \{U_i\}$ be a finite good cover of X . Consider the double complex $\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ where*

$$\check{C}_{p,q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet) = \oplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_p} \Gamma_c(U_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_p}, \mathcal{F}_q).$$

Here, Γ_c denotes "sections with compact support". The vertical differential in this double complex is induced by the differential on $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$. The horizontal differential δ is given by the formula

$$(\delta\omega)_{j_1, \dots, j_{p-1}} = \sum_{j_0=1}^N (\omega)_{j_0, \dots, j_{p-1}}.$$

Here, $(\alpha)_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ denotes the component of a chain $\alpha \in \check{C}_{k,l}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ in $\Gamma_c(U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k}, \mathcal{F}_l)$. We also follow the convention that if $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, $(\alpha)_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(k)}} = \text{sgn}(\sigma)(\alpha)_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ for any permutation σ of $1, \dots, k$. The following proposition is a modification of Proposition 12.12 of Bott and Tu [3].

Proposition 23. (1) *The map*

$$\text{Tot}_\bullet(\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \sum_i (\alpha)_i$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2) $H_p(\text{Tot}_\bullet(\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet))) \simeq \mathbb{H}_c^{-p}(X, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$.

The subscript 'c' on the right hand side in this proposition stands for "compact support". The chain complex $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ needs to be converted into a cochain complex by inverting degrees in order to make sense of the hypercohomology in the above proposition.

Proof. Since each $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a module over the sheaf of smooth functions on X , $\mathbb{H}_c^{-p}(X, \mathcal{F}_\bullet) \simeq H_p(\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}_\bullet))$. The second part of this proposition is therefore immediate from the first. The first part of this proposition follows from the fact that the q -th row of the double complex $\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ is a resolution of $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}_q)$. This can be proven by following the proof of Proposition 12.12 in [3]. $\square$

Let α be 0-cycle in $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$.

Proposition 24. *Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is acyclic in negative degrees. If X is compact, there exist 0-cycles α_i of $\Gamma_c(U_i, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ such that $\sum_i [\alpha_i] = [\alpha]$ in $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}_\bullet))$.*

Proof. We use a "staircase argument". By proposition 23, there exists a 0-cycle $\hat{\alpha}$ of $\text{Tot}_\bullet(\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet))$ such that $[\hat{\alpha}]$ maps to $[\alpha]$ under the quasi-isomorphism in proposition [23]. Note that $\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \hat{\alpha}_k$ where $\hat{\alpha}_k$ is a $-k + 1$ -chain in $\oplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} \Gamma_c(U_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_k}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$. Let $N(\hat{\alpha})$ be the largest integer such that $\hat{\alpha}_{N(\hat{\alpha})} \neq 0$. Then, $\hat{\alpha}_{N(\hat{\alpha})}$ is a cycle in $\oplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} \Gamma_c(U_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_{N(\hat{\alpha})}}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$. Since, $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is acyclic in negative degrees, $\hat{\alpha}_{N(\hat{\alpha})} = \delta\beta$ for some β where δ is the differential on $\oplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} \Gamma_c(U_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{j_{N(\hat{\alpha})}}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$. Let d denote the differential in the bicomplex $\text{Tot}_\bullet(\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet))$. View β as a chain in $\text{Tot}_\bullet(\check{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet))$. Then, $\hat{\alpha} + d\beta$ is homologous to $\hat{\alpha}$. On the other hand, $N(\hat{\alpha} + d\beta) = N(\hat{\alpha}) - 1$. It follows that repeating this procedure eventually gives a 0-cycle in $\oplus_i \Gamma_c(U_i, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ homologous to $\hat{\alpha}$. This proves the desired proposition. $\square$

4.2.6 Shoikhet's holomorphic noncommutative residue: definition. Let X be an arbitrary compact complex manifold and let $\mathcal{E}$ be an arbitrary vector bundle on X . Let $\mathfrak{U} := \{U_i\}$ be a finite good cover of X . Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a global holomorphic differential operator on $\mathcal{E}$. Note that $E_{11}(\mathcal{D})$ is a 0-cycle in $\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$. Applying proposition 24 to the complex $\widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]$ of sheaves on X , we see that there exist 0-cycles α_i in $\Gamma_c(U_i, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1])$ such that $\sum_i [\alpha_i] = [E_{11}(\mathcal{D})]$ in $H_0(\Gamma_c(X, \widetilde{\text{Lie}}(\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}))[1]))$. Define the *holomorphic noncommutative residue* of $\mathcal{D}$ to be the sum

$$\text{NC}(\mathcal{D}) := \sum_i \int_{U_i} \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r)(\alpha_i).$$

One can make the choices used to define $\lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r)$ in the U_i arbitrarily. We also remark that $\lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r)$ is not globally defined on X in a direct way (at least as far as we can see). By Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NC}(\mathcal{D}) &= \sum_i \int_{U_i} \lambda(\Psi_{2n+1}^r)(\alpha_i) = C \cdot \sum_i I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{lie}, \mathcal{E}}(\alpha_i) = C \cdot I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{lie}, \mathcal{E}}\left(\sum_i \alpha_i\right) = C \cdot I_{\text{FLS}}^{\text{lie}, \mathcal{E}}(E_{11}(\mathcal{D})) \\ &= C \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{str}(\mathcal{D}e^{-t\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}) = C \cdot \text{str}(\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves conjecture 3.3 of [19] in greater generality.

References

- [1] Adler, M., On the Trace Functional for Formal Pseudodifferential operators and the Symplectic Structure of the Korteweg-Devries Type Equations. *Inventiones Mathematicae* 50 (1979), 219-248.
- [2] Berline, N., Getzler, E., Vergne, M., *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. 2004: Springer Verlag.
- [3] Bott, R., Tu, L. W., *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*. 1995: Springer Verlag.
- [4] Bressler, P., Kapranov, M., Tsygan, B., Vasserot, E., Riemann-Roch for real varieties. *Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry-Manin Festschrift*.

- [5] J-L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 28 (1988), no. 1, 93-114.
- [6] Calaque, D., Rossi, C., *Lectures on Duflo isomorphisms in Lie algebras and complex geometry*. Lecture notes available at <http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~calaque/LectureNotes/LectETH.pdf>.
- [7] Dolgushev, V., Covariant and equivariant formality theorem. *Adv. Math.* 191 (2005) no. 1, 51-101.
- [8] Donnelly, H., Asymptotic expansions for the compact quotients of properly discontinuous group actions. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 23 (1979), no. 3, 485-496.
- [9] Engeli, M., Felder, G., A Riemann-Roch Hirzebruch formula for traces of differential operators. Arxiv preprint math.AG/0702461.
- [10] Feigin, B. L., Felder, G., Shoikhet, B., Hochschild cohomology of the Weyl algebra and traces in deformation quantization. *Duke Math. J.* 127 (2005), No. 3, 487-517.
- [11] Feigin, B. L., Tsygan, B. L., Cohomology of the Lie algebra of generalized Jacobian matrices (in Russian), *Func. Anal. Appl.*, 17:2 (1983), 86-87.
- [12] Gelfand, I. M., Fuks, D. B., Cohomology of the Lie algebra of tangent vector fields on a smooth manifold II (Russian), *Func. Anal. Appl.*, 4:2 (1970), 23-32.
- [13] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P., *Sheaves on manifolds*. Springer-Verlag.
- [14] Loday, J.-L., *Cyclic homology*. 1992: Springer Verlag.
- [15] Nest, R., Tsygan, B., Algebraic Index Theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 172 (1995), 223-262.
- [16] Pflaum, M. J., Posthuma, H., Tang, X., Cyclic cocycles on deformation quantizations and higher index theorems. Arxiv preprint arXiv:0805.1411.
- [17] Ramadoss, A. C., Some notes on the Feigin-Losev-Shoikhet integral conjecture, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 2 (2008), 405-448.
- [18] Ramadoss, A. C., Integration over complex manifolds via Hochschild homology, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 3 (2009), 27-45.
- [19] Shoikhet, B., Integration of the Lifting formulas and the Cyclic homology of the algebra of differential operators, *Geom. funct. anal.* Vol 11 (2001), 1096-1124.
- [20] Shoikhet, B., Lifting formulas II, *Math. Res. Lett.* 6 (1999) No. 3-4, 323-334.
- [21] Willwacher, T., Cyclic cohomology of the Weyl algebra. Arxiv preprint arXiv:0804.2812.
- [22] Wodzicki, M., Cyclic homology of differential operators. *Duke Math. J.* 54 (1987), no. 2, 641-647.